

On the Physical Meaning of the Robinson-Trautman-Maxwell Fields

Carlos Kozameh¹ E.T. Newman²

Gilberto Silva-Ortigoza³

¹FaMaF, Univ. of Cordoba,
Cordoba, Argentina

²Dept of Physics and Astronomy,
Univ. of Pittsburgh,
Pittsburgh, PA 15260, USA

³Facultad de Ciencias Físico Matemáticas
de la Universidad Autónoma de Puebla,
Apartado Postal 1152, 72001,
Puebla, Pue., México

7.12.06

Abstract

We study the Robinson-Trautman-Maxwell Fields in two closely related coordinate systems, the original Robinson-Trautman (RT) coordinates (in a more general context, often referred to as NU coordinates) and Bondi coordinates. In particular, we identify one of the RT variables as a velocity and then from the Bondi energy-momentum 4-vector, we find kinematic expressions for the mass and momentum in terms of this velocity. From these kinematic expressions and the energy-momentum loss equation we obtain surprising equations of motion for ‘the center of mass’ of the source where the motion takes place in the four-dimensional Poincare translation sub-group of the BMS group.

1

Introduction

One of the more important discoveries concerning integrating the Einstein equations was the realization that the condition of metrics being algebraically special allowed a huge simplification in the integration[1]. Not only was there the beautiful geometric result, the Goldberg-Sachs Theorem[2], saying that the degenerate principal null vectors must form a null geodesic congruence that is shear-free, but this result allowed all radial integrations to be performed. The algebraically special metrics separate into two classes, those with the null geodesic congruence having a vanishing[1] twist and those with non-vanishing[4, 5, 6] twist. We will study the subclass of the twist-free congruences, with a double degeneracy, known as the type II Robinson-Trautman (RT) metrics. Many of the issues explored here have been studied earlier by other authors[1, 3, 5, 6, 7, 8]. In particular Tafel and Drey looked at the relationship between the RT metrics and the Bondi metrics.

It is the purpose of this note to describe some rather surprising results concerning the physical content that is contained in both the type II vacuum Robinson-Trautman[1] and Robinson-Trautman-Maxwell[5, 6] metrics, but had been essentially unobserved. Since, at least formally, the RT spaces are special cases of the RTM spaces, often we will just refer to both as RTM though there are apparently significant subtle issues that separate them.

These metrics are *largely* determined by a single function of three variables denoted by $V = V(\tau, \zeta, \bar{\zeta})$, where τ is the NU/RT time[6, 9] coordinate and $(\zeta, \bar{\zeta})$ are complex stereographic coordinates on the sphere. (The coordinates used by Robinson-Trautman are a special case of those referred to as NU coordinates.) $V(\tau, \zeta, \bar{\zeta})$, whose geometric meaning will be clarified later, satisfies the Robinson-Trautman equation or its extension to include the Maxwell field.

The basic plan in this work is to study the consequences of expanding V in spherical harmonics, i.e., assume that

$$V(\tau, \zeta, \bar{\zeta}) = \sum V^{lm}(\tau) Y_{lm}(\zeta, \bar{\zeta}), \quad (1)$$

and using the expansion in the RTM equations. The fact that the RTM equations are very non-linear means that the spherical harmonic decomposition of the equation itself is quite complicated and that approximations were necessary. The approximated RTM equations for V then become a system of

coupled ODE's for the evolution of the components V^{lm} . The higher spherical harmonic components, i.e., those for $l \geq 2$, become derivatives of multiple moments. Our interest (partially) centers on the lowest components, i.e., ($l = 0, 1$), which can be interpreted as the *four-velocity of a world-line* in the four-dimensional space of the Poincaré translation subgroup of the BMS group. The equations of motion for this world-line are contained in the lowest harmonic part of the RTM equations but are driven by the higher harmonics.

The surprise comes when these equations are translated into Bondi coordinates and one looks at the Bondi energy-momentum four-vector and its evolution; namely the energy-momentum loss equation. Though the transformation to the Bondi coordinates is complicated and can be done in practice only perturbatively in powers of v/c , nevertheless we see a beautiful confirmation of the identification of the $l = 1$ components with the three-velocity v^i . The Bondi three-momentum becomes, in the first order approximation

$$P^i = M_0 v^i - \frac{2}{3c^3} q^2 v^{i'}. \quad (2)$$

In other words we obtain the usual kinematic definition of the momentum but now (by including the Maxwell field) with the standard radiation reaction term. In addition the Bondi energy, in the second order approximation, contains the relativistic kinematic mass-energy relation

$$E = Mc^2 = M_0 c^2 \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{v^2}{c^2}\right) \simeq \frac{M_0 c^2}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}}}. \quad (3)$$

Higher moment contributions have been left out in both E and P^i .

The calculations that were involved in order to obtain these results were fairly lengthy and complicated and far from obvious. Since the equations are quite non-linear, considerable use had to be made of Clebsch-Gordon expansions of spherical harmonic products. In order to keep the presentation as clear as possible we have the following organization. In the second section we review our notation. In section three we derive the *flat-space metric* based on the null coordinates that are associated with the light-cones with apex on an *arbitrary* time-like world-line in Minkowski space. The variable $V(\tau, \zeta, \bar{\zeta})$ appears naturally in this metric and one sees in this simple case how the velocity associated with the world-line enters the $V(\tau, \zeta, \bar{\zeta})$ via the $l = (0, 1)$ harmonics. In this flat space case the coefficients of the $l = (0, 1)$ are essentially arbitrary while in our RT and RTM cases these coefficients

satisfy equations of motion and are driven by the higher harmonics. In section four we state the RTM metrics and their related differential equations and discuss their origin and how they are related to the general asymptotically flat Einstein-Maxwell metrics. In section five we state the details of our approximations and show how in this context the RT and RTM equations can be integrated. In section six we describe the transformation from the NU/RT coordinates to Bondi coordinates and construct the Bondi energy-momentum four vector and its evolution equation. Finally in the concluding section we discuss how this work fits into a much broader - e.g., to the twisting algebraically special type II metrics and even to a large class of algebraically general asymptotically flat Einstein-Maxwell fields.

The calculations described here were often long and tedious and shortcuts were not found. This, unfortunately, does make the work difficult to follow in detail. To try to compensate for this we have attempted to give considerable background material.

2 Notation

Throughout this work we will be using certain Lorentzian and Euclidean vectors and tensor valued functions on the sphere. The most important of them is the null vector $l^a = \eta^{ab}l_b$ that sweeps out the entire sphere of null directions as

$$(\zeta, \bar{\zeta}) = \cot \frac{\theta}{2} (e^{i\phi}, e^{-i\phi}) \quad (4)$$

ranges over the sphere. Our space-time conventions are such that

$$\eta^{ab} = \eta_{ab} = \text{diag}(1, -1, -1, -1).$$

In a given Lorentz frame we chose l^a to have the normalized form

$$l^a = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \left(1, \frac{\zeta + \bar{\zeta}}{1 + \zeta\bar{\zeta}}, -i \frac{\zeta - \bar{\zeta}}{1 + \zeta\bar{\zeta}}, \frac{-1 + \zeta\bar{\zeta}}{1 + \zeta\bar{\zeta}} \right) = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} (t^a + C^a) \quad (5)$$

t^a and C^a being unit time and space-like vectors with $t^a = (1, 0, 0, 0)$. The factor $\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}$ had been chosen historically so that the antipodal null vector n^a , with $n^a l_a = 1$, is ‘symmetrically’ defined, i.e.,

$$n^a = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \left(1, -\frac{\zeta + \bar{\zeta}}{1 + \zeta\bar{\zeta}}, i \frac{\zeta - \bar{\zeta}}{1 + \zeta\bar{\zeta}}, \frac{1 - \zeta\bar{\zeta}}{1 + \zeta\bar{\zeta}} \right) = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} (t^a - C^a). \quad (6)$$

Remark 1. *This normalization, which has been in use for many years and has many formal benefits, nevertheless has certain serious drawbacks, namely the appearance of some unpleasant factors of 2 and $\sqrt{2}$. Unfortunately they will be often seen and will create a serious annoyance. They appear, mainly, in the definitions of the several time coordinates.*

Note that for the covariant forms of l^a and n^a , we just change the signs in the last three components, e.g.,

$$l_a = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \left(1, -\frac{\zeta + \bar{\zeta}}{1 + \zeta\bar{\zeta}}, i \frac{\zeta - \bar{\zeta}}{1 + \zeta\bar{\zeta}}, \frac{1 - \zeta\bar{\zeta}}{1 + \zeta\bar{\zeta}} \right). \quad (7)$$

Other (co)vectors obtained directly from the l_a are

$$m_a = \partial l_a = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \left(0, -\frac{1 - \bar{\zeta}^2}{1 + \zeta\bar{\zeta}}, \frac{i(1 + \bar{\zeta}^2)}{1 + \zeta\bar{\zeta}}, \frac{-2\bar{\zeta}}{1 + \zeta\bar{\zeta}} \right), \quad (8)$$

$$\bar{m}_a = \bar{\partial} l_a = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \left(0, -\frac{1 - \zeta^2}{1 + \zeta\bar{\zeta}}, -\frac{i(1 + \zeta^2)}{1 + \zeta\bar{\zeta}}, \frac{-2\zeta}{1 + \zeta\bar{\zeta}} \right), \quad (9)$$

$$t^a = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} (l^a + n^a) = (1, 0, 0, 0) = t_a, \quad (10)$$

$$c_a = l_a - n_a = \sqrt{2} \left(0, -\frac{\zeta + \bar{\zeta}}{1 + \zeta\bar{\zeta}}, i \frac{\zeta - \bar{\zeta}}{1 + \zeta\bar{\zeta}}, \frac{1 - \zeta\bar{\zeta}}{1 + \zeta\bar{\zeta}} \right) = \sqrt{2} C_a, \quad (11)$$

so that we can write

$$l_a = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \left(t_a + \frac{c_a}{\sqrt{2}} \right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} t_a + \frac{1}{2} c_a. \quad (12)$$

We have that

$$\partial \eta_{(s)} \equiv P_0^{1-s} \partial_\zeta (P_0^s \eta_{(s)}), \quad \bar{\partial} \eta_{(s)} \equiv P_0^{1+s} \partial_{\bar{\zeta}} (P_0^{-s} \eta_{(s)}), \quad P_0 = 1 + \zeta\bar{\zeta}.$$

Note that in the given Lorentzian frame, we can treat m_a , $\bar{m}_a$, and c_a as Euclidean three-vectors which we write, with Euclidean indices $i, j, \dots$, as

$$m_i, \bar{m}_i, \text{ and } c_i.$$

Also note that from the definitions of the stereographic coordinates, Eq.(4), we have that

$$c_i = l_i - n_i = -\sqrt{2}(\cos \phi \sin \theta, \sin \phi \sin \theta, \cos \theta) = -\sqrt{2} C^i$$

where C^i is the unit Euclidean radial vector.

The unifying notation of the tensor spin- s harmonics[10], $Y_{lij\dots k}^s \Leftrightarrow {}_sY_{lm\dots}$, which allows us to write

$$Y_0^0 = 1, \quad (13)$$

$$Y_{1i}^1 = m_i, \quad (14)$$

$$Y_{1i}^0 = \bar{\partial}Y_{1i}^1 = \partial Y_{1i}^{-1} = -c_i, \quad (15)$$

$$Y_{1i}^{-1} = \bar{m}_i, \quad (16)$$

and

$$Y_{2ij}^2 = m_i m_j, \quad (17)$$

$$Y_{2ij}^1 = \bar{\partial}Y_{2ij}^2 = -(c_i m_j + m_i c_j), \quad (18)$$

$$Y_{2ij}^0 = \bar{\partial}Y_{2ij}^1 = 3c_i c_j - 2\delta_{ij}, \quad (19)$$

$$Y_{2ij}^{-1} = -(c_i \bar{m}_j + \bar{m}_i c_j), \quad (20)$$

$$Y_{2ij}^{-2} = \bar{m}_i \bar{m}_j, \quad (21)$$

turns out to be almost indispensable. The ordinary spherical harmonics Y_{lm} are equivalent, i.e., are linear combinations of the $s = 0$, $Y_{lij\dots k}^0$,

$$Y_{lm} \Leftrightarrow Y_{lij\dots k}^0$$

so that, for example

$$Y_{1m} = (Y_{11}, Y_{10}, Y_{1-1}) \Leftrightarrow Y_{1i}^0 = -c_i. \quad (22)$$

Due to the non-linearity of the RTM equations, products of these harmonics often appear and must be reduced via a Clebsch-Gordon expansion. Several of the most important and most used of these expansions[10] are:

$$Y_{1i}^1 Y_{1j}^{-1} = \frac{1}{3}\delta_{ij} - \frac{i\sqrt{2}}{4}\epsilon_{ijk}Y_{1k}^0 - \frac{1}{12}Y_{2ij}^0, \quad (23)$$

$$Y_{1i}^0 Y_{1j}^0 = \frac{2}{3}\delta_{ij} + \frac{1}{3}Y_{2ij}^0, \quad (24)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
Y_{2kl}^2 Y_{2ij}^{-2} = & \frac{1}{5} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \delta_{ik} \delta_{jl} + \frac{1}{2} \delta_{li} \delta_{kj} - \frac{1}{3} \delta_{ij} \delta_{kl} \right\} \\
& + \frac{2}{5} \left\{ i \frac{\sqrt{2}}{8} (\delta_{jl} \epsilon_{ike} + \delta_{ki} \epsilon_{jle} + \epsilon_{jke} \delta_{il} + \delta_{kj} \epsilon_{ile}) Y_{1e}^0 \right\} \\
& + \frac{2}{7} \left\{ \frac{1}{6} (\delta_{ij} Y_{2kl}^0 + \delta_{kl} Y_{2ij}^0) - \frac{1}{8} (\delta_{lj} Y_{2ik}^0 + \delta_{ki} Y_{2lj}^0 + \delta_{li} Y_{2kj}^0 + \delta_{kj} Y_{2il}^0) \right\} \\
& + (l = 3 \text{ and } 4 \text{ harmonics}).
\end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

Using the tensor spin- s harmonics, the spin- s functions can be expanded via the $Y_{lij..k}^s$. In particular, for the ordinary functions on the sphere, i.e., for $s = 0$ functions, we have that

$$F_{(0)} = f^0 Y_0^0 + f^i Y_{1i}^0 + f^{ij} Y_{2ij}^0 + \dots,$$

rather than the equivalent expansion in terms of the ordinary spherical harmonics. A particular function that often appears is

$$G_{(0)} = g^a l_a = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} g^0 - \frac{1}{2} g^i Y_{1i}^0, \tag{26}$$

where we have used Eqs.(12) and (15).

We will be using several different time variables (or coordinates) in the course of this work, i.e., τ , w , u_B . The variable τ is the proper time along a four-dimensional world-line; its derivative is denoted by $\partial_\tau = (')$. Often we will be dealing with functions of w and of τ that functionally are identical, i.e., $F(\tau)$ and $F(w)$ are identical functions. In that case we will again use the same notation, $\partial_w = (')$ with the meaning coming from the context. The (rescaled) Bondi time, $u_B = w/\sqrt{2}$ will be denoted by $\partial_{u_B} = (\cdot)$. The origin of the $\sqrt{2}$ is related to remark 1.

3 A Flat Metric

Before considering the RTM metrics we describe a flat-space version that imitates many of the features of the RTM metrics, albeit with a great simplification. Starting with Minkowski space and ordinary Minkowski coordinates, x^a , we introduce a coordinate transformation to new coordinates $(\tau, r, \zeta, \bar{\zeta})$

that are based on the null cones emanating from an arbitrary time-like world line which we parametrize so that it has a unit velocity vector,

$$v^a v_a = 1, \quad (27)$$

so that (with our approximation. i.e., for slow motion)

$$v^0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}}} \approx 1 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{v^2}{c^2} = 1 + \delta v^0, \quad (28)$$

$$\xi^0(\tau) = \int v^0 d\tau = \tau + \delta \xi^0. \quad (29)$$

The transformation is given by

$$x^a = \xi^a(\tau) + \frac{r}{V_0} l^a(\zeta, \bar{\zeta}), \quad (30)$$

with $x^a = \xi^a(\tau)$, the parametrized world-line, $l^a(\zeta, \bar{\zeta})$, the null vector of Eq.(5) and $V_0(\tau, \zeta, \bar{\zeta})$ defined as the τ dependent spin-weight $s = 0$ function on the sphere

$$\begin{aligned} V_0 &\equiv \xi^{a'} l_a = v^a l_a, \\ \xi^{a'} &= \frac{d\xi^a}{d\tau}. \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

Remark 2. If the world-line was simply the time axis, i.e., $\xi^a(u_B) = u_B t^a$, then the transformation, $x^a \Leftrightarrow (u_B, \hat{r}, \hat{\zeta}, \hat{\bar{\zeta}})$ is given by

$$x^a = u_B t^a + \sqrt{2} \hat{r} l^a(\hat{\zeta}, \hat{\bar{\zeta}}). \quad (32)$$

In this special case, the new coordinates are the (flat-space) Bondi coordinates.

To construct the new form of the metric we first take the differentials of Eq.(30) and simplify it:

$$dx^a = \xi^{a'} d\tau + d\left(\frac{r}{V_0}\right) l^a(\zeta, \bar{\zeta}) + \frac{r}{V_0} dl^a(\zeta, \bar{\zeta}).$$

Using

$$\begin{aligned} dl^a &\equiv P_0^{-1} (m^a d\zeta + \bar{m}^a d\bar{\zeta}), \\ dV_0 &= V_0' d\tau + P_0^{-1} v^a (m_a d\zeta + \bar{m}_a d\bar{\zeta}), \end{aligned}$$

from Eqs.(8) and (9), we have that

$$dx^a = v^a d\tau + l^a \left(\frac{dr}{V_0} - \frac{V'_0}{V_0^2} r d\tau \right) - \frac{r}{V_0^2 P_0} l^a v^b (m_b d\zeta + \bar{m}_b d\bar{\zeta}) + \frac{r}{V_0 P_0} (m^a d\zeta + \bar{m}^a d\bar{\zeta}), \quad (33)$$

which is then substituted into $ds^2 = \eta_{ab} dx^a dx^b$. Using the scalar products $v^a l_a = V_0$, $m^a \bar{m}_a = 1$ and $m^a l_a = 0$ we obtain [after the cancelation of two terms both containing $v^b (m_b d\zeta + \bar{m}_b d\bar{\zeta})$] the flat metric

$$ds^2 = \eta_{ab} dx^a dx^b = (1 - 2 \frac{V'_0}{V_0} r) d\tau^2 + 2d\tau dr - r^2 \frac{2d\zeta d\bar{\zeta}}{V_0^2 P_0^2}, \quad (34)$$

or (with $P = \frac{P_0 V_0}{\sqrt{2}}$)

$$ds^2 = \eta_{ab} dx^a dx^b = (1 - 2 \frac{V'_0}{V_0} r) d\tau^2 + 2d\tau dr - r^2 \frac{d\zeta d\bar{\zeta}}{P^2}. \quad (35)$$

The Gaussian curvature of the $r = \text{constant}$ surfaces is, after a brief calculation,

$$K = 4P^2 \partial_\zeta \partial_{\bar{\zeta}} \log P = \partial \bar{\partial} \log P_0 = 1,$$

the curvature of the unit sphere metric.

Remark 3. *It should be emphasized that in the present flat-space case we have the expressions:*

$$X_0 = \xi^a(\tau) l_a = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\tau + \delta \xi^0) - \frac{1}{2} \xi^i Y_{1i}^0, \quad (36)$$

$$V_0 = v^a(\tau) l_a = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (1 + \delta v^0) - \frac{1}{2} v^i Y_{1i}^0, \quad (37)$$

$$V'_0 = v^{a'}(\tau) l_a = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \delta v^{0'} - \frac{1}{2} v^{i'} Y_{1i}^0. \quad (38)$$

They will be generalized to include higher harmonics in the next section. The metric form will appear quite similar.

To make this metric, (35), agree with a certain conventional form[6] (coming from the l^a normalization) that has been frequently used, we make the following simple rescaling of the r and τ

$$\begin{aligned}
r &= \frac{r^*}{\sqrt{2}} \text{ \& } \tau = \sqrt{2}\tau^*, \\
v^a &= \frac{v^{*a}}{\sqrt{2}}, \\
V_0 &= \frac{V_0^*}{\sqrt{2}},
\end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

resulting in

$$ds^2 = 2(K - \frac{V_0^{*'}}{V_0^*}r^*)d\tau^{*2} + 2d\tau^*dr^* - \frac{r^{*2}}{2}\frac{d\zeta d\bar{\zeta}}{P^2}, \tag{40}$$

$$P = \frac{P_0V_0}{\sqrt{2}} = \frac{P_0V_0^*}{2}, \tag{41}$$

$$K = 4P^2\partial_\zeta\partial_{\bar{\zeta}}\log P = 1, \tag{42}$$

with

$$V_0^{*'} = \partial_{\tau^*}V_0^*.$$

Note that we continue to use $(')$ for both τ and τ^* derivatives. The distinction should be clear from the context.

As we remarked earlier for the flat case, our function $V_0 = v^a(\tau)l_a$ will be generalized in the RTM case to include higher harmonics. In the flat case, V codes the information of the velocity vector of the Minkowski space world-line. In the RTM case there is no such ‘real world-line’ but nevertheless a ‘world-line’ in an auxiliary space does exist. It is easiest to show its meaning first in the flat space case. To do so we first return to the flat space Bondi coordinates $(u_B, \hat{r}, \hat{\zeta}, \hat{\bar{\zeta}})$, . Eq.(32). Rescaling $\hat{r}$ and u_B as in Eq.(39)

$$x^a = \sqrt{2}u_B^*t^a + \hat{r}^*l^a(\hat{\zeta}, \hat{\bar{\zeta}}). \tag{43}$$

The relationship between $(u_B^*, \hat{r}^*, \hat{\zeta}, \hat{\bar{\zeta}})$ and $(\tau, r, \zeta, \bar{\zeta})$ is given by

$$\sqrt{2}u_B^*t^a + \hat{r}^*l^a(\hat{\zeta}, \hat{\bar{\zeta}}) = \xi^a(\tau) + \frac{r}{V_0}l^a(\zeta, \bar{\zeta}), \tag{44}$$

which with a bit of effort can actually be disentangled as a coordinate transformation. We need it only at future null infinity, $\mathfrak{I}^+$, i.e., in the limit as $r \rightarrow \infty$, where $(\hat{\zeta}, \hat{\bar{\zeta}}) \rightarrow (\zeta, \bar{\zeta})$.

In this limit, if (44) is multiplied by l_a we obtain the relationship

$$u_B^* = \xi^a(\tau) l_a(\zeta, \bar{\zeta}). \quad (45)$$

But since the 4- d Poincaré translation subgroup of the BMS group is given by

$$u_B^* = d^a l_a(\zeta, \bar{\zeta}),$$

we see immediately that we can interpret the ‘world-line’ as a curve in the space of BMS translations, d^a .

We will see how this type of ‘world-line’ arises naturally in the study of the RTM spaces.

Remark 4. *Often there are two different time-coordinates that are used at null infinity, $\mathfrak{I}^+$, namely, the Bondi time u_B and the so-called NU time τ . Roughly speaking, the 2-surfaces of constant u_B are uniformly stacked above each other while the constant τ surfaces have an arbitrary uneven stacking. They are related by an expression of the form, $u_B = X(\tau, \zeta, \bar{\zeta})$. Eq.(45) is an example. This description applies to both flat and asymptotically flat space-times.*

4 RT and RTMaxwell Space-Times

4.1 The metric and differential equations

The RTMaxwell metric, *after all the radial integrations have been performed*, can be put into the form[1, 5, 6], (see Eq.(40))

$$ds^2 = 2\left(K - \frac{V^{*'}}{V^*} r^* + \frac{V^{*3} \psi_2^{*0}}{r^{*2}} + \frac{V^{*4} \phi_1^{*0} \bar{\phi}_1^{*0}}{r^{*2}}\right) d\tau^{*2} + 2d\tau^* dr^* - \frac{r^{*2}}{2} \frac{d\zeta d\bar{\zeta}}{P^2}, \quad (46)$$

with

$$K = 4P^2 \partial_{\bar{\zeta}} \partial_{\zeta} \log P, \quad (47)$$

$$P = \frac{V^* P_0}{2}, \quad (48)$$

$$\psi_2^{*0} = -V^{*-3} \chi, \quad (49)$$

and Maxwell field

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi_0^* &= 0, \\
\phi_1^* &= \phi_1^{*0} r^{-2} \equiv \frac{q}{2} V^{*-2} r^{-2}, \\
\phi_2^* &= \phi_2^{*0} r^{-1}.
\end{aligned} \tag{50}$$

The two gravitational variables, V^* and $\chi \equiv -V^{*3}\psi_2^{*0}$, and the Maxwell field, ϕ_2^{*0} , are functions only of $(\tau^*, \zeta, \bar{\zeta})$, and satisfy the three coupled differential equations, the RTM equations,

$$\phi_1^{*0'} + \eth_{(\tau^*)}[V^* \phi_2^{*0}] = 0 \tag{51}$$

$$\eth_{(\tau^*)}\chi + 2kV^{*3}\phi_1^{*0}\bar{\phi}_2^{*0} = 0 \tag{52}$$

$$(V^{*-3}\chi)' - \{\eth_{(\tau^*)}^2 \bar{\eth}_{(\tau^*)}^2 V^* - V^{*-1} \bar{\eth}_{(\tau^*)}^2 V^* \cdot \eth_{(\tau^*)}^2 V^*\} + kV^* \phi_2^{*0} \bar{\phi}_2^{*0} = 0 \tag{53}$$

The constant q , in Eq.(50), is the conserved electric charge while χ (or ψ_2^{*0}) carries the information of the Bondi energy-momentum four-vector which will be extracted later, $k = 2G/c^4$, and $\eth_{(\tau^*)}$ is the edth operator holding τ^* constant. The quantities ϕ_1^{*0} and ϕ_2^{*0} are asymptotic tetrad components of the Maxwell field while χ (or ψ_2^{*0}) are asymptotic tetrad components of the Weyl tensor.

It is useful to note the logic of these equations: They can be solved (in principle) individually, in order. The first allows ϕ_2^{*0} to be expressed as a function of V while the second does the same for χ . Finally, the last determines the τ -evolution of V .

Remark 5. *The RTM equations are extremely difficult equations to solve or analyze rigorously. Very few exact solutions have been found. In the special case when $q = 0$, i.e., the pure RT equations, Piotr Chrusciel[11] showed that, with sufficiently ‘nice’ initial data, the solutions evolve asymptotically, in time, to the Schwarzschild metric. In the general case, though one would hope for a theorem saying the evolution would lead to the Reissner-Nordstrom solution, this apparently has not yet been shown. It does make an attractive conjecture. Later, in our discussion of the approximate solutions, we can see how this issue arises.*

Remark 6. *For the time being, to streamline the notation and avoid a plethora of similar symbols, we will drop the $(*)$ on the V and τ . Later, to see the physical relevance of our results, we must remember to restore the*

original V and τ via Eq.(39). In addition, the velocity of light, ‘ c ’, will be explicitly introduced via $\tau \Rightarrow c\tau$ and $w \Rightarrow cw$.

4.2 Background and Derivation

In the remainder of this section we will attempt to place the RTM equations in a broader context, i.e., study how they are related to general asymptotically flat Einstein-Maxwell fields and how from that point of view they can be derived. We begin with the general asymptotic version of the Einstein-Maxwell fields in a Bondi coordinate and tetrad system and see how to specialize to the RTM equations. Later, using these results, *we will reverse the procedure and go from the RTM equations to the Bondi point of view* in order to obtain the physical meaning of the RTM variables.

4.2.1 Bondi coordinates and tetrad

We start with the full set of asymptotic Maxwell and Bianchi identities in Bondi coordinates, $(u_B, \zeta, \bar{\zeta})$ and Bondi tetrad $(l, n, m, \bar{m})$ [6, 9], (the u_B is already rescaled)

Maxwell Equations

$$(\phi_0^0)^\cdot + \bar{\partial}\phi_1^0 - \sigma\phi_2^0 = 0, \quad (54)$$

$$(\phi_1^0)^\cdot + \bar{\partial}\phi_2^0 = 0. \quad (55)$$

Bianchi Identities

$$(\psi_0^0)^\cdot = -\bar{\partial}\psi_1^0 + 3\sigma\psi_2^0 + 3k\phi_0^0\bar{\phi}_2^0, \quad (56)$$

$$(\psi_1^0)^\cdot = -\bar{\partial}\psi_2^0 + 2\sigma\psi_3^0 + 2k\phi_1^0\bar{\phi}_2^0, \quad (57)$$

$$(\psi_2^0)^\cdot = -\bar{\partial}\psi_3^0 + \sigma\psi_4^0 + k\phi_2^0\bar{\phi}_2^0, \quad (58)$$

$$\psi_3^0 = \bar{\partial}\bar{\sigma}, \quad (59)$$

$$\psi_4^0 = -\bar{\sigma}^\cdot, \quad (60)$$

$$\psi_2^0 - \bar{\psi}_2^0 = \bar{\partial}^2\sigma - \bar{\partial}^2\bar{\sigma} + \sigma^\cdot\bar{\sigma} - \bar{\sigma}^\cdot\sigma, \quad (61)$$

where

$$k = \frac{2G}{c^4}. \quad (62)$$

From the definition of the mass aspect

$$\Psi = \psi_2^0 + \bar{\partial}^2 \bar{\sigma} + \sigma \bar{\sigma}, \quad (63)$$

we see that (61) is equivalent to

$$\bar{\Psi} = \Psi. \quad (64)$$

and by eliminating ψ_2^0 in terms of Ψ , Eq.(58) becomes the *very important Bondi mass loss equation*

$$\Psi' = \sigma'(\bar{\sigma})' + k\phi_2^0 \bar{\phi}_2^0. \quad (65)$$

4.2.2 Asymptotically shear-free tetrad

These relations take a new form after using the tetrad transformation, (the asymptotic null rotation around n),

$$\begin{aligned} l^{*a} &= l^a + b\bar{m}^a + \bar{b}m^a + b\bar{b}n^a, \\ m^{*a} &= m^a + bn^a, \\ n^{*a} &= n^a, \\ b &= -L/r + O(r^{-2}), \\ L &= L(u_B, \zeta, \bar{\zeta}), \end{aligned} \quad (66)$$

with

$$\phi_0^0 = \phi_0^{*0} + 2L\phi_1^{*0} + L^2\phi_2^{*0}, \quad (67)$$

$$\phi_1^0 = \phi_1^{*0} + L\phi_2^{*0}, \quad (68)$$

$$\phi_2^0 = \phi_2^{*0}, \quad (69)$$

$$\psi_0^0 = \psi_0^{*0} + 4L\psi_1^{*0} + 6L^2\psi_2^{*0} + 4L^3\psi_3^{*0} + L^4\psi_4^{*0}, \quad (70)$$

$$\psi_1^0 = \psi_1^{*0} + 3L\psi_2^{*0} + 3L^2\psi_3^{*0} + L^3\psi_4^{*0}, \quad (71)$$

$$\psi_2^0 = \psi_2^{*0} + 2L\psi_3^{*0} + L^2\psi_4^{*0}, \quad (72)$$

$$\psi_3^0 = \psi_3^{*0} + L\psi_4^{*0}, \quad (73)$$

$$\psi_4^0 = \psi_4^{*0}. \quad (74)$$

Remark 7. Note that the $(*)$ used here has nothing to do with the $(*)$ from the rescaling of V and τ in section 3.

First, we obtain a new asymptotic shear [12, 13] σ^* for the null vector l^{*a} , that is given by

$$\sigma^* = \sigma - (\bar{\partial}L + LL^\cdot).$$

The requirement of a vanishing σ^* leads to the differential equation for the determination of L ,

$$\bar{\partial}L + LL^\cdot = \sigma. \quad (75)$$

Using such an L , with Eq.(66), the asymptotic Maxwell and Bianchi Identities are changed to equivalent equations (just with a *changed tetrad*) but with the *same Bondi coordinates*. Now however l^{*a} defines an asymptotically shear-free and, in general twisting, null vector. Shortly we consider a special case where the twist vanishes.

Maxwell Equations

$$(\phi_0^{*0})^\cdot + \bar{\partial}\phi_1^{*0} + 2L^\cdot\phi_1^{*0} + L(\phi_1^{*0})^\cdot = 0, \quad (76)$$

$$(\phi_1^{*0})^\cdot + \bar{\partial}\phi_2^{*0} + (L\phi_2^{*0})^\cdot = 0. \quad (77)$$

Bianchi Identities

$$(\psi_0^{*0})^\cdot = -\bar{\partial}\psi_1^{*0} - L(\psi_1^{*0})^\cdot - 4L^\cdot\psi_1^{*0} + 3k\phi_0^{*0}\bar{\phi}_2^{*0}, \quad (78)$$

$$(\psi_1^{*0})^\cdot = -\bar{\partial}\psi_2^{*0} - L(\psi_2^{*0})^\cdot - 3L^\cdot\psi_2^{*0} + 2k\phi_1^{*0}\bar{\phi}_2^{*0}, \quad (79)$$

$$(\psi_2^{*0})^\cdot = -\bar{\partial}\psi_3^{*0} - L(\psi_3^{*0})^\cdot - 2L^\cdot\psi_3^{*0} + k\phi_2^{*0}\bar{\phi}_2^{*0}, \quad (80)$$

$$\psi_3^{*0} = \bar{\partial}(\bar{\sigma})^\cdot + L(\bar{\sigma})^\cdot, \quad (81)$$

$$\psi_4^{*0} = -\bar{\sigma}^\cdot, \quad (82)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_2^{*0} - \bar{\psi}_2^{*0} &= \bar{\partial}^2\sigma - \bar{\partial}^2\bar{\sigma} + \bar{\sigma}\sigma^\cdot - \sigma\bar{\sigma}^\cdot \\ &\quad + 2\bar{L}\bar{\partial}(\sigma)^\cdot - 2L\bar{\partial}(\bar{\sigma})^\cdot + \bar{L}^2\sigma^\cdot - L^2\bar{\sigma}^\cdot, \end{aligned} \quad (83)$$

where now we treat $L(u_B, \zeta, \bar{\zeta})$ as the basic asymptotic data and σ is *defined* by

$$\sigma \equiv \bar{\partial}L + LL^\cdot. \quad (84)$$

By using (72) in (63) we find that

$$\Psi = \psi_2^{*0} + 2L\bar{\partial}(\bar{\sigma})^\cdot + L^2\bar{\sigma}^\cdot + \bar{\partial}^2\bar{\sigma} + \sigma\bar{\sigma}^\cdot, \quad (85)$$

so that (83) is again equivalent to

$$\Psi - \bar{\Psi} = 0. \quad (86)$$

Note also that only the first three of Eqs.(78)-(82) have a dynamic content, Eqs.(81) and (82) are just definitions that can be inserted into Eq.(80). This fact will be used later.

4.2.3 Type II metrics in Bondi coordinates

Up to now the two sets of equations, with the Bondi tetrad and the shear-free tetrad, are completely equivalent. We now make our major condition that is a severe restriction on the solutions. We assume that we are dealing with *algebraically special type II Einstein-Maxwell metrics* with a non-twisting pnv, l^{*a} . This translates *immediately* into the statement that $\psi_0^{*0} = \psi_1^{*0} = 0$ and that automatically, from Eq.(78), we have that $\phi_0^{*0} = 0$. The non-twisting assumption has not yet been used.

Using this the Maxwell/Bianchi Identities become

$$\bar{\partial}\phi_1^{*0} + 2L\phi_1^{*0} + L(\phi_1^{*0})^\cdot = 0, \quad (87)$$

$$(\phi_1^{*0})^\cdot + \bar{\partial}\phi_2^{*0} + (L\phi_2^{*0})^\cdot = 0, \quad (88)$$

$$0 = -\bar{\partial}\psi_2^{*0} - L(\psi_2^{*0})^\cdot - 3L\psi_2^{*0} + 2k\phi_1^{*0}\bar{\phi}_2^{*0}, \quad (89)$$

$$(\psi_2^{*0})^\cdot = -\bar{\partial}\psi_3^{*0} - L(\psi_3^{*0})^\cdot - 2L\psi_3^{*0} + k\phi_2^{*0}\bar{\phi}_2^{*0}, \quad (90)$$

$$\Psi = \psi_2^{*0} + 2L\bar{\partial}(\bar{\sigma})^\cdot + L^2(\bar{\sigma})^\cdot + \bar{\partial}^2\bar{\sigma} + \sigma(\bar{\sigma})^\cdot = \bar{\Psi}, \quad (91)$$

with, as mentioned above, the defining relations

$$\psi_3^{*0} \equiv \bar{\partial}(\bar{\sigma})^\cdot + L(\bar{\sigma})^\cdot \quad (92)$$

$$\sigma \equiv \bar{\partial}L + LL^\cdot \quad (93)$$

They are a set of coupled equations for the variables $(L, \phi_1^{*0}, \phi_2^{*0}, \psi_2^{*0})$.

The shear is no longer free data - it follows from knowledge of L , via Eq.(93).

4.2.4 Transformation to NU/RT coordinates

By transforming to a new set of coordinates at $\mathfrak{I}^+$, namely NU/RT coordinates, [with the same tetrad], we obtain a great simplification in these equations.

The transformation is to be given by[13, 9]

$$u_B = X(\tau, \zeta, \bar{\zeta}), \quad (94)$$

(ζ unchanged) where $X(\tau, \zeta, \bar{\zeta})$ is obtained from the following construction, based on the (assumed) known $L(u_B, \zeta, \bar{\zeta})$: First the (CR[14]) function,

$$\tau = T(u_B, \zeta, \bar{\zeta}), \quad (95a)$$

is found by solving the differential equation

$$\bar{\partial}T + LT = 0, \quad (96)$$

and then by inverting it, i.e., by algebraically solving for u_B , the $X(\tau, \zeta, \bar{\zeta})$ is constructed.

Remark 8. *In the more general situation of twisting type II congruences, the T and X are in general complex-valued analytic functions, however for the (non-twisting) Robinson-Trautman case they are real.*

Now applying this transformation, $u_B \Rightarrow \tau$, to Eqs.(87)-(91), (a lengthy process), we obtain our final version of the Maxwell/Bianchi Identities in the new tetrad basis with the NU/RT coordinates, $(\tau, \zeta, \bar{\zeta})$. The variable $L(u_B, \zeta, \bar{\zeta})$ is replaced by the new basic variable

$$V(\tau, \zeta, \bar{\zeta}) \equiv X'(\tau, \zeta, \bar{\zeta}). \quad (97)$$

Remark 9. *Frequently, in the application of this transformation, we have used the easily derived relations*

$$L = -\frac{\bar{\partial}T}{T} = \bar{\partial}_{(\tau)}X, \quad (98)$$

$$L = V^{-1} \bar{\partial}_{(\tau)}V, \quad (99)$$

$$\sigma = \bar{\partial}L + LL = \bar{\partial}_{(\tau)}^2 X, \quad (100)$$

where $\bar{\partial}_{(\tau)}$ means the $\bar{\partial}$ -operator but holding τ constant as opposed to holding u_B constant.

4.2.5 Maxwell/RT in NU/RT coordinates:

$$\bar{\partial}_{(\tau)}[V^2 \phi_1^{*0}] = 0 \Rightarrow \phi_1^{*0} \equiv \frac{q}{2} V^{-2}, \quad (101)$$

$$\phi_1^{*0'} + \bar{\partial}_{(\tau)}[V \phi_2^{*0}] = 0, \quad (102)$$

$$-\bar{\partial}_{(\tau)}\chi = 2kV^3\phi_1^{*0}\bar{\phi}_2^{*0}, \quad (103)$$

$$\chi = -V^3\psi_2^{*0}, \quad (104)$$

$$3\chi\frac{V'}{V} - \chi' + V^3\{\bar{\partial}_{(\tau)}^2\bar{\partial}_{(\tau)}^2V - V^{-1}\bar{\partial}_{(\tau)}^2V \cdot \bar{\partial}_{(\tau)}^2V\} = kV^4\phi_2^{*0}\bar{\phi}_2^{*0}, \quad (105)$$

with (χ, V, ψ_2^{*0}) all real. The mass aspect simplifies, after a lengthy calculation, to

$$\Psi = \psi_2^{*0} + \bar{\partial}_{(\tau)}^2\bar{\partial}_{(\tau)}^2X = \bar{\Psi}. \quad (106)$$

These equations are precisely the same as those given earlier, Eqs.(51)-(53). They had been obtained[6], with some notation changes, by direct integration of the NP equations assuming that $\psi_0^{*0} = \psi_1^{*0} = \phi_0^{*0} = 0$ with a real ‘divergence’ ρ .

4.3 Approximate solutions

As we mentioned (and is easily seen) the RTM equations are quite non-linear and difficult to solve. We have resorted to an approximation scheme based on the idea that the dominant term in gravitational radiation is from the quadrupole. We thus assume that all quantities are to be expanded in spherical harmonics including terms from $l = 0, 1, 2$ with nothing higher. Essentially we are approximating off the Reissner-Nordstrom metric which we take as zeroth order. We consider terms up to quadratic in the deviation from the zeroth order. Due to the non-linearities there are frequent products of the $l = 0, 1, 2$ harmonics which are then decomposed via the Clebsch-Gordon expansions (Sec. II). When these expansions involve harmonics with $l > 2$ these higher l terms will be omitted. In a few instances where the $l = 2$ harmonics or the quadratic terms do not appear to be important, we will omit them. This occurs for the Maxwell fields, which appear in the gravitational equations only as quadratic terms. These $l = 2$ could easily be restored but to keep the equations tractable their omission seems to be reasonable. Where this occurs, we will point it out.

We use the following notation (remembering the rescaling of τ) for the

harmonic expansions of the relevant variables:

$$V = \frac{v_0}{\sqrt{2}} - \frac{1}{2}v^i Y_{1i}^0 + v^{ij} Y_{2ij}^0 = \frac{\sqrt{2} + \delta v_0}{\sqrt{2}} - \frac{1}{2}v^i Y_{1i}^0 + v^{ij} Y_{2ij}^0, \quad (107)$$

$$V' = \frac{\delta v'_0}{\sqrt{2}} - \frac{1}{2}v^{i'} Y_{1i}^0 + v^{ij'} Y_{2ij}^0, \quad (108)$$

$$X = \int^\tau V d\tau = \frac{\sqrt{2}\tau + \int \delta v_0 d\tau}{\sqrt{2}} - \frac{1}{2}\xi^i Y_{1i}^0 + \xi^{ij} Y_{2ij}^0, \quad (109)$$

$$\xi^{i'} = v^i, \quad \xi^{ij'} = v^{ij}, \quad (110)$$

$$V\phi_2^{*0} = \Phi^i Y_{1i}^{-1} + \Phi^{ij} Y_{2ij}^{-1}, \quad (111)$$

$$\chi = \chi_0 + \delta\chi_0 + \chi^i Y_{1i}^0 + \chi^{ij} Y_{2ij}^0. \quad (112)$$

Our first differential equation, Eq.(51),

$$\phi_1^{*0'} + \mathfrak{D}_{(\tau)}[V\phi_2^{*0}] = 0, \quad (113)$$

becomes, using the properties of edth,

$$\begin{aligned} -(\frac{q}{2}V^{-2})' &= qV^{-3}V' = \mathfrak{D}_{(\tau)}[V\phi_2^{*0}] = \mathfrak{D}_{(\tau)}[\Phi^i Y_{1i}^{-1} + \Phi^{ij} Y_{2ij}^{-1}], \quad (114) \\ qV^{-3}V' &= \Phi^i Y_{1i}^0 + \Phi^{ij} Y_{2ij}^0. \end{aligned}$$

From the Taylor expansion of V^{-3} , with (108), we have the solutions,

$$\begin{aligned} V\phi_2^{*0} &= -q[\sqrt{2}v^{i'} - \frac{18 \cdot 4}{5}(v^j v^{ij})']Y_{1i}^{-1} \\ &+ q \left\{ 2\sqrt{2}v^{ji'} - \text{Sym.T.F.}[v^j v^{i'} + \frac{(24)(12)}{7}v^{jl}v^{il}] \right\} Y_{2ij}^{-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (115)$$

Sym.T.F. means symmetrized and trace free part of the expression and χ_0 is constant.

The linearized Maxwell field is

$$\phi_0^{*0} = 0, \quad (116)$$

$$\phi_1^{*0} = q[1 + \sqrt{2}v^i Y_{1i}^0 - 2\sqrt{2}v^{ki} Y_{2ki}^0], \quad (117)$$

$$\phi_2^{*0} = -2q[v^{i'} Y_{1i}^{-1} - 2v^{ij'} Y_{2ij}^{-1}]. \quad (118)$$

(See appendix A for the solution up to second order with the $l = 2$ harmonics.)

Using Eqs.(50), (112) and (115) in Eq.(52), i.e.,

$$\bar{\partial}_{(\tau)}\chi + 2kV^3\phi_1^{*0}\bar{\phi}_2^{*0} = 0, \quad (119)$$

we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \chi^i &= -\frac{kq^2}{2}(\sqrt{2}v^{i'} - \frac{72}{5}\{v^j v^{ij}\}'), \\ \chi^{ij} &= \frac{kq^2\sqrt{2}}{3}v^{ji'} - \frac{kq^2}{6}Sym.T.F.(v^j v^{i'} + \frac{288}{7}v^{jl}v^{il'}). \end{aligned}$$

Finally the last and most complicated equation, (53), is studied after inserting ϕ_2^{*0} and χ from Eqs.(115) and (112). We find, after considerable effort, that the evolution is given by

$$(\delta\chi_0)' = 3\chi_0 v^i v^{i'} + \frac{48(18)}{5}v^{ij}v^{ij} \quad (120)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &+ kq^2\{v^{i'}v^{i'} - \frac{1}{3}[v^i v^{i'}] - \frac{16}{5}[v^{ij'}v^{ij}]' + \frac{48}{5}v^{ji'}v^{ij'}\}, \\ \chi_0 v^{i''} &= -\frac{96\sqrt{2}}{5}v^j v^{ij} + q^2 k\{\frac{1}{3}[v^{i''} - \frac{36\sqrt{2}}{5}(v^j v^{ij})'' \\ &+ \frac{12\sqrt{2}}{5}(v^{ij}v^{j'})'] + \frac{4\sqrt{2}}{15}[v^{ij''}v^j - 9v^{ij'}v^{j'} - 3v^{ji''}v^{j'}]\}, \end{aligned} \quad (121)$$

$$\begin{aligned} 3\chi_0 v^{ij'} &= -6v^{ij} - Sym.T.F.[\frac{48 \cdot 30}{7\sqrt{2}}v^{il}v^{jl}] + \frac{kq^2}{3}v^{ij''} \\ &+ Sym.T.F.[\frac{16kq^2}{7\sqrt{2}}(v^{jl'}v^{il} - 3v^{jl}v^{il''} - \frac{21}{64}v^{i'}v^{j'} - \frac{11}{2}v^{jl'}v^{il'})]. \end{aligned} \quad (122)$$

Equations (120), (121) and (122) constitute our final set of (ordinary) differential equations within our approximation scheme for the RTM equations, while Eqs.(112) with (116) and (50) yield the asymptotic physical Maxwell and Weyl fields. Even with our ‘heavy’ approximations it is not easy to understand them. However, in the next section, where we transform the RTM equations to Bondi coordinates, we can see meaning to these equations from physical insight. This physical insight might even help in understanding the mathematical structures involved.

5 The Bondi Energy-Momentum Four-Vector

In order to construct the Bondi energy-momentum four-vector and its evolution we reverse the coordinate transformation that took us from Bondi coordinates to the NU/RT coordinates that was given earlier in Eqs.(94) and (95a). We then express the mass aspect in terms of the RTM variables.

5.1 Transformation to Bondi coordinates

In order to go from the present NU/RT coordinates, $(\tau, \zeta, \bar{\zeta})$ on null infinity, $(\mathfrak{I}^+)$, to the Bondi coordinates, $(u_B, \zeta, \bar{\zeta})$, we simply use Eq.(94) with its approximation

$$u_B = X(\tau, \zeta, \bar{\zeta}) \quad (123)$$

$$= \int^\tau V(\tau', \zeta, \bar{\zeta}) d\tau' = \frac{\xi^0(\tau)}{\sqrt{2}} - \frac{1}{2} \xi^i(\tau) Y_{1i}^0 + \xi^{ij}(\tau) Y_{2ij}^0, \quad (124)$$

with $(\zeta, \bar{\zeta})$ unchanged. We need to find the inversion of (124), i.e., we must find the approximate $\tau = T(u_B, \zeta, \bar{\zeta})$.

Remark 10. *If exact solutions, $V(\tau, \zeta, \bar{\zeta})$, of the RTM equations were known, then the exact transformation to Bondi coordinates at $\mathfrak{I}^+$ would be given by*

$$u_B = X(\tau, \zeta, \bar{\zeta}) = \int^\tau V(\tau', \zeta, \bar{\zeta}) d\tau',$$

with an additive arbitrary supertranslation. By ignoring it, we implicitly have chosen a specific Bondi frame.

Remark 11. *In this section we will be returning to the unrescaled τ , so that $\tau \Rightarrow \tau/\sqrt{2}$, (see Eq.(39), (107) and (109)).*

Before finding $T(u_B, \zeta, \bar{\zeta})$, we point out that $\partial_{u_B} T \equiv T^\cdot = V^{-1}$ since by differentiating (123)

$$1 = V \frac{d\tau}{du_B} = VT^\cdot. \quad (125)$$

The relation $\tau = T(u_B, \zeta, \bar{\zeta})$ can be approximated in the following manner: from Eq.(107), we have that

$$\xi^0(\tau) = \tau + \delta\xi^0(\tau), \quad (126)$$

so Eq.(123) can be rewritten, with

$$w = \sqrt{2}u_B, \quad (127)$$

as

$$\begin{aligned} \tau &= w + F(\tau, \zeta, \bar{\zeta}), \\ F(\tau, \zeta, \bar{\zeta}) &\equiv -\delta\xi^0(\tau) + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\xi^i(\tau)Y_{1i}^0 - \sqrt{2}\xi^{ij}(\tau)Y_{2ij}^0. \end{aligned} \quad (128)$$

This can be iterated with

$$\tau = w \quad (129)$$

as the zeroth order iterate. The first iterate of Eq.(128) is then

$$\tau = w + F(w, \zeta, \bar{\zeta}), \quad (130)$$

$$\tau = w - \delta\xi^0(w) + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\xi^i(w)Y_{1i}^0 - \sqrt{2}\xi^{ij}(w)Y_{2ij}^0, \quad (131)$$

with the second iterate

$$\tau = w + F(w + F(w, \zeta, \bar{\zeta}), \zeta, \bar{\zeta}) \approx w + F(w, \zeta, \bar{\zeta}) + F\partial_w F, \quad (132)$$

with higher iterates (though unpleasant and not needed) easily found. We then have that

$$T^\cdot = \sqrt{2}\partial_w T = \sqrt{2}(1 + \partial_w F(w) + \partial_w^2 F(w) \cdot F(w) + \partial_w F(w) \cdot \partial_w F(w)),$$

or, using the Clebsch-Gordon expansions and omitting the argument w ,

$$\begin{aligned} T^\cdot &= \sqrt{2}(1 + \frac{1}{3}\{v^i\xi^i\}' - \delta v_0 + \frac{48}{5}[\xi^{ij}v^{ij'} + v^{ij}v^{ij}]) \\ &\quad + [\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}v^i - \frac{12}{5}\{v^{ij'}\xi^j + v^{j'}\xi^{ij} + 2v^{ij}v^j\}]Y_{1i}^0 \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{6}\{v^{i'}\xi^k + v^i v^k - 6\sqrt{2}v^{ki} + \frac{288}{7}[\xi^{kl}v^{il'} + v^{kl}v^{il}]\}Y_{2ki}^0. \end{aligned} \quad (133)$$

This result plays an important role in determining the mass aspect and the Bondi four-vector.

Remark 12. We have been using the notation $(') \equiv \partial_\tau$ and $(\cdot) \equiv \partial_{u_B}$. In the following where w , from Eq.(127), is used in the same functional expressions that had used τ , we will continue to use the notation

$$(\cdot) \equiv \partial_w = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\cdot) \ .$$

5.2 The Mass Aspect

Our task is to take the mass aspect from Eq.(106)

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi &= \bar{\Psi} = \psi_2^{*0} + \bar{\delta}_{(\tau)}^2 \bar{\delta}_{(\tau)}^2 X \\ &= -V^{-3} \chi + \bar{\delta}_{(\tau)}^2 \bar{\delta}_{(\tau)}^2 X,\end{aligned}\tag{134}$$

which is a function of $(\tau, \zeta, \bar{\zeta})$ and reexpress it, via $\tau = T((u_B, \zeta, \bar{\zeta}))$, in Bondi coordinates.

All the terms on the right side of (134) are known functions of $(\chi_0, \delta v^0, v^i, v^{ij}, \delta \xi^0, \xi^i, \xi^{ij})$, which in turn are functions of $(\tau, \zeta, \bar{\zeta})$. These known functions are substituted into Eq.(134) and then τ is replaced by the first iterate, Eq.(131). {The first iterate is sufficient since all the relevant quantities are already 1st order.}

After another very lengthy calculation, using the third power of T , i.e., Eq.(133), and frequent use of Clebsch-Gordon expansions, we finally find (including only the zeroth and first harmonic terms, the only ones needed for the Bondi four-vector)

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi(w, \zeta, \bar{\zeta}) &= \Psi^{(0)} + \Psi^{(1i)} Y_{1i}^0, \\ \Psi^{(0)} &= -2\sqrt{2} \{ \chi_0 [1 + \frac{1}{2} v^i v^i + v^{ij} \xi^i + \frac{144}{5} (\xi^{ij} v^{ij'} + 2v^{ij} v^{ij})] + \frac{288}{5} \xi^{ij} v^{ij} \\ &\quad + \delta \chi_0 - q^2 k [\frac{1}{3} v^{ij} \xi^i + \frac{16}{5} \xi^{ij} v^{ij''} + \frac{48}{5} v^{ij} v^{ij'} + v^{ij} v^i] \}, \\ \Psi^{(1i)} &= -6 \{ \chi_0 [v^i - \frac{12\sqrt{2}}{5} (v^{ij} \xi^j + v^{j'} \xi^{ij} + 4v^{ij} v^j)] - \frac{48}{5\sqrt{2}} v^{ij} \xi^j \\ &\quad + \frac{\sqrt{2} q^2 k}{3} [-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} v^{ij} + \frac{48}{5} (v^j v^{ij})' + \frac{12}{5} \xi^{ij} v^{j''} + \frac{4}{5} v^{ij''} \xi^j + \frac{24}{5} v^{ij} v^{j'}] \}.\end{aligned}\tag{135}$$

Remark 13. *As already pointed out the already defined $w = \sqrt{2}u_B$ is really the unrescaled time coordinate, Eq.(43), that was originally used. In what follows we replace w by cw , i.e.,*

$$\begin{aligned}w &\Rightarrow cw, \\ (') &\Rightarrow c^{-1}(').\end{aligned}$$

Since the relationship[9, 15] between the mass aspect and the Bondi energy-momentum four-vector, (Mc, P^i) is given (with $M = M_0 + \delta M_0$ and

$M_0 = \text{const.})$ by

$$\Psi(w, \zeta, \bar{\zeta}) = -\frac{2\sqrt{2}G}{c^2}M - \frac{6G}{c^3}P^i Y_{1i}^0 + \dots, \quad (136)$$

then by comparison with Eq.(135), we can make the physical identifications: with

$$\chi_0 = \frac{G}{c^2}M_0$$

we have that

$$\begin{aligned} M &= M_0[1 + \frac{v^{i2}}{2c^2} + \frac{v^{i'}\xi^i}{c^2} + \frac{144}{5c^2}(\xi^{ij}v^{ij'} + 2v^{ij}v^{ij})] + \frac{288c}{5G}\xi^{ij}v^{ij} \\ &\quad - \frac{2q^2}{c^5}[v^{i'}v^i + \frac{1}{3}v^{i'''}\xi^i + \frac{16}{5}\xi^{ij}v^{ij''} + \frac{48}{5}v^{ij}v^{ij'}], \\ P^i &= M_0v^i - \frac{2q^2}{3c^3}v^{i'} - \frac{12\sqrt{2}}{5c}M_0(v^{ij'}\xi^j + v^{j'}\xi^{ij} + 4v^{ij}v^j) - \frac{24\sqrt{2}c^2}{5G}v^{ij}v^{ij'} \\ &\quad + \frac{8\sqrt{2}q^2}{15c^4}[12(v^jv^{ij})' + 3\xi^{ij}v^{j''} + v^{ij''}\xi^j + 6v^{ij}v^{j'}]. \end{aligned} \quad (137)$$

5.3 The Bondi Energy-Momentum 4-Vector

The Bondi energy-momentum four-vector,

$$P^a = (Mc, P^i), \quad (139)$$

which has been extracted from the asymptotic Weyl tensor has been known for *almost* 50 years. It transforms as a Lorentzian four-vector under the Lorentz subgroup of the BMS group. It satisfies the beautiful Bondi energy-momentum loss theorem. But, to our knowledge, it never before had a kinematic relationship with anything that resembled a velocity.

We see that the variable v^i that was introduced formally, in Sec. IV, as the $l = 1$ harmonic component in $V(\tau, \zeta, \bar{\zeta})$ now plays the kinematic role of a velocity. We see that the mass has the kinetic energy term

$$M_0c^2(1 + \frac{1}{2}\frac{v^2}{c^2}) \approx \frac{M_0c^2}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}}}, \quad (140)$$

while the momentum, at lowest order, is just

$$P^i = M_0 v^i. \quad (141)$$

Though most of the remaining terms in both M and P^i come from the $l = 2$ (quadrupole) terms and remain to be understood, the several terms involving the $l = 1$ quantities (both linear and quadratic) are interesting. In M there is the anomalous term

$$M_0 v^{i'} \xi^i,$$

which can be interpreted as Force x displacement, the work done on the total system moving it to the ‘position’ ξ . The two quadratic terms appear to be new, a contribution to the mass from an accelerated massive charge. This appears to be a genuine prediction from this work, though the effect is probably too small ever to be measured.

The second term in P^i , i.e.,

$$-\frac{2}{3c^3} q^2 v^{i'}, \quad (142)$$

is quite well known. In the equations of motion, obtained below, from the energy-momentum loss theorem, the derivative of this term is precisely the (electromagnetic) radiation reaction force with the correct numerical factors.

The question is in what ‘space’ is this motion taking place? We saw in Eq.(124), i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} u_B &= X(\tau, \zeta, \bar{\zeta}) = \\ &= \frac{\xi^0(\tau)}{\sqrt{2}} - \frac{1}{2} \xi^i(\tau) Y_{1i}^0 + \xi^{ij}(\tau) Y_{2ij}^0 + \dots, \end{aligned} \quad (143)$$

that we omitted an arbitrary additive (integration) term, coming from the four-parameter Poincaré subgroup of the BMS group, of the form

$$\xi_0^a l_a = \frac{\xi_0^0}{\sqrt{2}} - \frac{1}{2} \xi_0^i Y_{1i}^0, \quad (144)$$

where ξ_0^a is an arbitrary (constant) translation. We can thus interpret the $\xi^a(\tau)$ as motion in this four dimensional space and *define it as the center of*

mass motion of the total system with $v^a(\tau) = \xi^a(\tau)$ as the center of mass velocity.

Remark 14. *Though this issue will not be pursued here, there is an alternate space where the motion or world-line can be considered as taking place. Associated with each RTMaxwell solution there is defined a complex four-dimensional manifold referred to as H -space[13, 16, 17]. Our world-line can be interpreted as a curve in H -space.*

5.4 Energy-Momentum Loss Theorem

In a Bondi coordinate and tetrad system one can find the Bondi energy-momentum loss equations directly from the asymptotic Weyl tensor equation[15, 9], Eq.(65),

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi &= \sigma(\bar{\sigma}) + k\phi_2^0\bar{\phi}_2^0, \\ k &= 2G/c^4.\end{aligned}\tag{145}$$

By substituting, from Eq.(118),

$$\phi_2^{*0} = -\frac{2q}{c^2}[v^{ij}Y_{1i}^{-1} - 2v^{ij'}Y_{2ij}^{-1}],$$

and, from Eq.(100),

$$\sigma = \sqrt{2}24\frac{v^{ij}}{c}Y_{2ij}^2,\tag{146}$$

then using, on the left side, Eq.(136),

$$\Psi(w, \zeta, \bar{\zeta}) = -\frac{2\sqrt{2}G}{c^2}M - \frac{6G}{c^3}P^iY_{1i}^0 + \dots,\tag{147}$$

we have, (after Clebsch-Gordon expansions, from Eqs.(23) and (25)), the mass (energy) loss theorem

$$M' = \delta M'_0 = -\frac{288}{5G}cv^{ij}v^{ij} - \frac{2}{3c^5}q^2v^{i'}v^{i'} - \frac{32q^2}{5c^5}v^{ij'}v^{ij'},\tag{148}$$

or

$$E' = (Mc^2)' = -\frac{288}{5G}c^3v^{ij}v^{ij} - \frac{2q^2}{3c^3}v^{i'}v^{i'} - \frac{32q^2}{5c^3}v^{ij'}v^{ij'},\tag{149}$$

and the momentum loss equation

$$P^{i'} = \frac{8\sqrt{2}q^2}{5c^4} v^{j'} v^{ij'}. \quad (150)$$

The c has been explicitly introduced.

There are several important things to notice about these relations:

- the energy loss due to the electromagnetic radiation is *precisely* the electric dipole radiation expression, [with the dipole moment being

$$d^i = q\xi^i, \quad (151)$$

allowing us to identify ξ^i as the center of charge as well as center of mass] and electric quadrupole radiation, if we identify the electric quadrupole moment, D^{ij} , with our ξ^{ij} from

$$D^{ij'} = 24\sqrt{2}qc\xi^{ij},$$

so that[18]

$$\frac{32q^2}{5c^3} v^{ij'} v^{ij'} = \frac{D^{ij''} D^{ij''}}{180c^5}$$

the classical quadrupole electromagnetic energy loss.

- By comparing our term, from Eq.(149), for the gravitational energy loss, namely

$$\frac{288}{5G} c^3 v^{ij} v^{ij},$$

with the standard quadrupole radiation formula[18], i.e.,

$$\frac{G}{5c^5} \{Q^{ij'''}\} \{Q^{ij'''}\}, \quad (152)$$

we find the physical meaning to the variables, ξ^{ij} , namely modulo numerical factors, it is the 2nd time derivative of the mass quadrupole moment:

$$\begin{aligned} \xi^{ij} &= \frac{G}{12\sqrt{2}c^4} Q^{ij''}, \\ v^{ij} &= \frac{G}{12\sqrt{2}c^4} Q^{ij'''}, \end{aligned} \quad (153)$$

Remark 15. *Note that both the gravitational quadrupole moment Q^{ij} and the electric quadrupole moment D^{ij} are defined from the RT variable ξ^{ij} .*

The equations of motion for the world-line arise when P^i , from Eq.(138), is used in the momentum loss equation. Writing Eq.(138) as

$$P^i = Mv^i - \frac{2}{3c^3}q^2v^{i'} + MG + q^2H, \quad (154)$$

where M_0 has been replaced by M and the G and H contain $l = 2$ terms (which will be neglected in this discussion), $P^{i'} = \frac{8\sqrt{2}q^2}{5c^4}v^{j'}v^{ij'}$ becomes

$$Mv^{i'} = \frac{2}{3c^3}q^2v^{i''} + \frac{8\sqrt{2}q^2}{5c^4}v^{j'}v^{ij'} - M'v^i \quad (155)$$

Using the mass loss equation, Eq.(148), it becomes the equations of motion for the world-line

$$Mv^{i'} = \frac{2}{3c^3}q^2v^{i''} + \frac{8\sqrt{2}q^2}{5c^4}v^{j'}v^{ij'} + v^i \left[\frac{2}{3c^5}q^2v^{j'}v^{j'} + \frac{32q^2}{5c^3}v^{kj'}v^{kj'} + \frac{288}{5G}cv^{jk}v^{jk} \right]. \quad (156)$$

Many of the terms in this equation are quite familiar from classical electrodynamics. If we ignore the mass loss terms (the three cubic terms) we have the well-known radiation reaction equations[18] whose solutions have the troubling run-away exponential behavior. By adding in the just electromagnetic radiation term (the first and second cubic term) we again have a classical electrodynamic result[19] which was derived in the past by model building and which required mass renormalization. This term has the correct sign and structure to possibly suppress the run-away behavior - though whether or not it does so is not clear. The gravitational radiation term (the third cubic term), arising from electromagnetic quadrupole radiation, simply add to the likelihood that the run-away behavior could be suppressed by these equations. At this point it is not clear what effect the quadratic term, which couples the $l = 1$ and $l = 2$ harmonics, has on the motion.

There are two important comments to make concerning Eq.(156), one positive, the other negative:

- The negative comment is that we have cheated a bit, in that we have included a cubic term in Eq.(156) that comes from the mass loss, while throughout this work we have excluded cubic terms. Our defense is that it arises naturally from the mass loss and has such a clear physical meaning.

- The positive remark is that we have not used any model building, as has been used in the classical electrodynamic derivations, with the often used mass renormalization. We have here simply taken the Einstein-Maxwell equations and applied them to the class of non-twisting algebraically special metrics and obtained the classical equations with the additional gravitational correction term. All the numerical factors are identical to those of the model dependent derivations. We have nothing that even resembles mass renormalization. Our mass is the Bondi mass seen at infinity.

It has been known that there have been difficulties[11], as we mentioned earlier, in giving a definite answer to the question of the end state of an RTMaxwell solution with a non-vanishing charge. It appears likely that this difficulty is associated with the delicate balance between the run-away behavior and its suppression via the three radiation terms. We hope to be able to study the numerical evolution of Eq.(156) in the near future. From a physical point of view we know that charged particles do not self-accelerate so there is some reason to believe that the same would be true for this special class of fields.

6 Conclusion

In this work we have returned to the study of the beautiful class of metrics known as the Robinson-Trautman and Robinson-Trautman-Maxwell equations and tried to give them physical content. Many attempts over the past forty or so years have been made to solve them - with very few exact solutions - most work being on approximations. Existence theorems for the pure vacuum case were given and much approximate work was done on them but there appears to be little progress on the Maxwell version. There have been several attempts[7, 8], via approximations, to relate the pure RT metrics to the more general class of Bondi metrics where one has an understanding of asymptotic energy and momentum as well as the multipole structure. However, to our knowledge, little or nothing along these lines was done for the RTM equations.

In this work we have first studied the RTM equations, in their natural NU/RT coordinates, and reduced them, using a truncated spherical harmonic expansion and approximation, to a series of ODE's. Afterwards we

applied a method, recently developed, to approximate the transformation to Bondi coordinates and finally obtain the Bondi energy-momentum 4-vector and its evolution. By studying these equations, we were able to give physical meaning to many of the RTM variables. In addition we obtained directly from the field equations, with no model building, the classical particle equations of motion with the radiation reaction force and terms for the possible suppression of the run-away behavior.

There are several different directions in which we intend to extend this work:

- We would like to redo the calculations done here consistently to third order in v/c . The obstacle is the complexity of the calculations. In the present work often we had to do the calculation two or three times to get agreement.
- We plan to generalize this work to type II algebraically special metrics that now contain shear-free null geodesic congruences with non-*vanishing twist*. It appears virtually certain from earlier work and from the charged Kerr metric, that the twist leads to intrinsic angular momentum. The present equations of motion should, we believe, generalize to motion for spinning particles.
- We hope to generalize the second (or planned third) order calculations given here for the RTM equations to the general case of asymptotically flat Einstein-Maxwell metrics. The condition of being algebraically special would be replaced by the tetrad condition of finding and using null geodesic congruences that are asymptotically shear-free[13, 15, 9]. To do this appears to be a quite formidable task.

7 Acknowledgments

This material is based upon work (partially) supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. PHY-0244513. Any opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the National Science. E.T.N. thanks the NSF for this support. G.S.O. acknowledges the financial support from CONACyT through Grand No.44515-F, VIEP-BUAP through Grant No.17/EXC/05 and Sistema Nacional de Investigadores (SNI-México). C.K. thanks CONICET and SECYTUNC for support.

References

- [1] I. Robinson and A. Trautman, Proc. Roy. Soc., London Ser., **A 289**, 463 (1962).
- [2] J. N. Goldberg and R. K. Sachs, Acta Phys. Pol., **22**, Suppl., 13 (1962).
- [3] E. T. Newman and R. Posadas, Phys. Rev., **187**, 1784 (1960).
- [4] C. J. Talbot, Commun. Math. Phys., **13**, 45 (1969).
- [5] R. Lind and E.T. Newman, J. Math.Phys., **15**, 1103 (1974).
- [6] E.T. Newman, K. P.Tod, in *General Relativity and Gravitation*, Vol **2**, Alan Held, (ed.), Plenum (1980).
- [7] T. Dray, Gen. Rel. Grav. **14**, 109-112 (1982).
- [8] J. Tafel, Classical and Quantum Gravity, **17**, 4397-4408, (2000).
- [9] E. T. Newman and G. Silva-Ortigoza, Classical and Quantum Gravity, **23**, 91-113, (2006).
- [10] E. T. Newman and G. Silva-Ortigoza, Classical and Quantum Gravity, **23**, 497-509, (2006).
- [11] P. Chrusciel, Proc. Roy. Soc.London, **A 436**, 299-316, (1992).
- [12] B. Aronson and E. T. Newman, J. Math. Phys. **13**, 1847-51, (1972).
- [13] C. Kozameh and E. T. Newman, Classical and Quantum Gravity, **22**, 4659-4665 (2005).
- [14] E. T. Newman and P. Nurowski, Classical and Quantum Gravity, **23**, 3123-3127 (2006).
- [15] C. Kozameh, E. T. Newman and G. Silva-Ortigoza, Classical and Quantum Gravity, **22**, 4679-4698 (2005).
- [16] R. Hansen, E. T. Newman, R. Penrose and K. Tod, Proc. Roy. Soc. London **A 363**, 445 (1978).

- [17] M. Ko, M. Ludvigsen, E. T. Newman and K. Tod, Phys. Reports **71**, 51-139 (1981).
- [18] L. Landau and E. M. Lifschitz, *Classical Theory of Fields*, Addison-Wesley, Reading , Mass., (1962).
- [19] W. Thirring, *Principles of Quantum Electrodynamics (p.25)*, Academic Press, NY and London, (1958).

8 Appendix A

For completeness, though we have not needed them, the Maxwell field up to second order with $l = 2$ terms is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi_0^{*0} &= 0, \\
\phi_1^{*0} &= q[1 + \frac{144}{5}v^{ij}v^{ij} + (\sqrt{2}v^i - \frac{72}{5}v^jv^{ij})Y_{1i}^0 - (2\sqrt{2}v^{ki} - \frac{1}{2}v^kv^i - \frac{144}{7}v^{kl}v^{il})Y_{2ki}^0], \\
\phi_2^{*0} &= -q[2v^{k'} - \frac{60\sqrt{2}}{5}(v^jv^{kj})' - \frac{24\sqrt{2}}{5}v^iv^{ki'} - i(v^{i'}v^j + \frac{96}{5}v^{lj}v^{il'})\epsilon_{ijk}]Y_{1k}^{-1} \\
&\quad + q[4v^{jl'} - \frac{3}{\sqrt{2}}v^jv^{l'} - \frac{336\sqrt{2}}{7}v^{js}v^{ls'} - 4i(v^{jk}v^{i'} - \frac{1}{3}v^iv^{jk'})\epsilon_{ikl}]Y_{2jl}^{-1},
\end{aligned}$$

with each expression being a function of τ .